§52.2124

A-1 to subpart A of 40 CFR part 98—Global Warming Potentials.

(B) Sum the resultant value from paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(A) of this section for each gas to compute a tpy CO_2e .

(iii) The term emissions increase shall mean that both a significant emissions increase (as calculated using the procedures in South Carolina Air Pollution Control Regulations and Standards (South Carolina Regulations) 61-62.5, Standard No. 7, paragraph (a)(2)(iv)) and a significant net emissions increase (as defined in South Carolina Air Pollution Control Regulations and Standards (South Carolina Regulations) 61-62.5, Standard No. 7, paragraphs (b)(34) and (b)(49)(i)) occur. For the pollutant GHGs, an emissions increase shall be based on tpy CO2e, and shall be calculated assuming the pollutant GHGs is a regulated NSR pollutant, and "significant" is defined as 75,000 tpy CO₂e instead of applying the value in South Carolina Air Pollution Control Regulations and Standards (South Carolina Regulations) 61-62.5, Standard No. 7, paragraph (b)(49)(ii).

(d) Regulation 61-62.5 Standard No. 7—This regulation (submitted on July 1, 2005) includes two portions of EPA's 2002 NSR Reform Rules that were vacated by the D.C. Circuit Court—Pollution Control Projects (PCPs) and clean units. As a result, EPA is disapproving all rules and/or rule sections in the South Carolina PSD rules referencing clean units or PCPs. Specifically, the following South Carolina rules are being disapproved: (a)(2)(iv)(e);(a)(2)(iv)(f) (second sentence only); (b)(30)(iii)(h);(a)(2)(vi); (b)(12);(b)(34)(iii)(b);(b)(34)(vi)(d);(r)(6)—only the reference to the term "clean unit" is being disapproved. The remainder of this regulatory provision is being approved); (r)(7)—only the reference to the term "clean unit" is being disapproved. The remainder of this regulatory provision is being approved); (x); (y) and (z).

(e) Regulation 61–62.5 Standard No. 7.1—EPA is disapproving two provisions of South Carolina's NNSR program (submitted on July 1, 2005) that relate to provisions that were vacated from the federal program by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on June 24, 2005.

The two provisions vacated from the federal rules pertain to Pollution Control Projects (PCPs) and clean units. The PCP and clean unit references are severable from the remainder of the NNSR program. Specifically, the following sections of South Carolina Regulation 61-62.5 Standard No. 7.1 are being disapproved: (b)(5); (b)(6)—Second (b)(8): sentence only; (c)(4). (c)(6)(C)(viii); (c)(8)(C)(iii); (c)(8)(E)(v);(c)(10); (d)(1)(C)(ix); (d)(1)(C)(x); (d)(3)Only the reference to the term "clean unit" is being disapproved. The remainder of this regulatory provision is being approved; (d)(4)—Only the reference to the term "clean unit" is being disapproved. The remainder of this regulatory provision is being approved; (f); (g) and (h). These disapprovals were amended in 73 FR 31371, (June 2, 2008)

[45 FR 6575, Jan. 29, 1980, as amended at 60 FR 12702, Mar. 8, 1995; 75 FR 82560, Dec. 30, 2010; 76 FR 36879, June 23, 2011]

§52.2124 Legal authority.

(a)-(c) [Reserved]

(d) The requirements of §51.230(d) of this chapter are not met since statutory authority to prevent construction, modification, or operation of a facility, building, structure, or installation, or combination thereof, which indirectly results or may result in emissions of any air pollutant at any location which will prevent the maintenance of a national air quality standard is not adequate.

[37 FR 10892, May 31, 1972, as amended at 39 FR 7284, Feb. 25, 1974; 41 FR 10065, Mar. 9, 1976; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986]

§52.2125 Control strategy: Ozone.

(a) Determination of attaining data. EPA has determined, as of November 15, 2011, the bi-state Charlotte-Gastonia-Rockhill, North Carolina-South Carolina nonattainment area has attaining data for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. This determination, in accordance with 40 CFR 51.918, suspends the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning SIPs related to attainment of the

Environmental Protection Agency

standards for as long as this area continues to meet the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

(b) Based upon EPA's review of the air quality data for the 3-year period 2008-2010, EPA determined that the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, North Carolina-South Carolina, 1997 8-hour ozone nonattainment Area attained the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS by the applicable attainment date of June 15, 2011. Therefore, EPA has met the requirement pursuant to CAA section 181(b)(2) to determine, based on the Area's air quality as of the attainment date, whether the Area attained the standard. EPA also determined that the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, North Carolina-South Carolina, 1997 8-hour ozone nonattainment Area is not subject to the consequences of failing to attain pursuant to section 181(b)(2).

[76 FR 70660, Nov. 15, 2011, as amended at 77 FR 13494, Mar. 7, 2012]

§ 52.2126 VOC rule deficiency correction.

Sections I and II of South Carolina's Regulations 62.1 and 62.5 is approved. The State submitted these regulations to EPA for approval on September 18, 1990. Sections I and II of Regulation 62.5 were intended to correct deficiencies cited in a letter calling for the State to revise its SIP for ozone from Mr. Greer C. Tidwell, the EPA Regional Administrator, to Governor Carroll A. Campbell on May 26, 1988, and clarified in a letter from Mr. Winston A. Smith, EPA Region IV, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, to Mr. Otto E. Pearson, former Director of the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control:

(a) South Carolina's VOC regulations contain no method for determining capture efficiency. This deficiency must be corrected after EPA publishes guidance on the methods for determining capture efficiency before the SIP for ozone can be fully approved.

(b) [Reserved]

 $[57~{\rm FR}~4161,~{\rm Feb.}~4,~1992,~{\rm as~amended~at}~59~{\rm FR}~17937,~{\rm Apr.}~15,~1994]$

§§ 52.2127-52.2129 [Reserved]

§ 52.2130 Control strategy: Sulfur oxides and particulate matter.

In letters dated May 7, and December 2, 1986, the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control certified that no emission limits in the State's plan are based on dispersion techniques not permitted by EPA's stack height rules. This certification does not apply to Public Service Authority—Winyah, SCE& G—Bowater, and SCE & G—Williams.

[54 FR 14651, Apr. 12, 1989]

§52.2131 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a)-(b) [Reserved]

(c) All applications and other information required pursuant to §52.21 from sources located in the State of South Carolina shall be submitted to the State agency, South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201, rather than to EPA's Region 4 office.

[42 FR 4124, Jan. 24, 1977, as amended at 47 FR 6018, Feb. 10, 1982; 74 FR 55143, Oct. 27, 2000]

$\S 52.2132$ Visibility protection.

- (a) [Reserved]
- (b) Regulation for visibility monitoring. The provisions of §52.26 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable plan for the State of South Carolina.
- (c) Long-term strategy. The provisions of §52.29 are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable plan for the State of South Carolina.
- (d) Regional Haze. The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met because the regional haze plan submitted by South Carolina on December 17, 2007, does not include fully approvable measures for meeting the requirements of 40 CFR 51.308(d)(3) and 51.308(e) with respect to emissions of NO_X and SO₂ from electric generating units. EPA has given limited disapproval to the plan provisions addressing these requirements.
- (e) Measures Addressing Limited Disapproval Associated with NO_X . The deficiencies associated with NO_X identified